

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11
12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
13 Plaintiff,
14 v.
15 MIGUEL ANGEL ORTEGA
16 Defendant.
17

Case No. 2:17-CR-00179-CAS-1

ORDER OF DETENTION

[Fed. R. Crim. P. 31.1(a)(6);
18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1)]

18
19
20 I.

21 On August 29, 2024, Defendant Miguel Angel Ortega (“Defendant”)
22 appeared before the Court for initial appearance on the petition and warrant for
23 revocation of supervised release issued in this matter, Case No. 2:24-CR-00179-
24 CAS-1. The Court appointed Rick Goldman of the Federal Public Defender’s
25 Office to represent Defendant.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

II.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a) following Defendant's arrest for alleged violation(s) of the terms of Defendant's ☐ probation / ☒ supervised release, the Court finds that:

A. ☐ Defendant submitted to the Government's Request for Detention;

B. ☒ Defendant has not carried his burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he will appear for further proceedings as required if released [18 U.S.C. § 3142(b-c)]. This finding is based on:

- Nature of instant allegations;
- Arrest for possession of narcotics (methamphetamine);
- Admission of criminal activity while on supervised release;

D. ☒ Defendant has not carried his burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he will not endanger the safety of any other person or the community if released [18 U.S.C. § 3142(b-c)]. This finding is based on:

- Nature of instant allegations;
- Arrest for possession of narcotics (methamphetamine);
- Admission of criminal activity while on supervised release;
- Admission of fabrication of story provided to law enforcement.

III.

In reaching this decision, the Court considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and

1 (d) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. [18
2 U.S.C. § 3142(g).] The Court also considered the report and recommendation of
3 the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency.
4

5 IV.

6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant be detained pending further
7 proceedings.
8

9
10 Dated: August 29, 2024



11 MARIA A. AUDERO
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28